# ON COMPLEMENTED SUBSPACES OF m

#### BY

# JORAM LINDENSTRAUSS\*

## ABSTRACT

It is proved that an infinite dimensional subspace of m is complemented in m if and only if it is isomorphic to m.

Let Y be a Banach space and let X be a closed linear subspace of Y. We say that X is complemented in Y if there is a bounded linear projection from Y onto X. A Banach space is called a  $\mathfrak{P}$  space if it is complemented in every Banach space containing it. For a set  $\Gamma$  let  $m(\Gamma)$  denote the space of bounded scalar-valued functions on  $\Gamma$  with the supremum norm. Since every Banach space is isometric to a subspace of  $m(\Gamma)$  for a suitable  $\Gamma$  and since every  $m(\Gamma)$  is a  $\mathfrak{P}$  space it is easily seen and well known (cf. [3, p. 94]) that a Banach space X is a  $\mathfrak{P}$  space if and only if X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of some  $m(\Gamma)$ . The question of functional representation of  $\mathfrak{P}$  spaces has been considered by many authors but is still open. The main known results in this direction are contained in [5] and its references. The purpose of the present note is to settle the question of the structure of the complemented subspaces of  $m(\Gamma)$  if  $\Gamma$  is countably infinite (for this  $\Gamma$  we denote, as usual,  $m(\Gamma)$  by m).

THEOREM. An infinite dimensional subspace X of m is complemented in m if and only if X is isomorphic to m.

The *if* part of the theorem is trivial since *m* is a  $\mathfrak{P}$  space. The theorem answers a question of Pełczyński [5] (cf. also [2]). Pełczyński proved in [5] a result similar to the *only if* part of the theorem for  $l_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $c_0$ . We shall use in the proof of our theorem the following two results of Pełczyński.

LEMMA 1. Let X be an infinite dimensional  $\mathfrak{P}$  space. Then X contains a subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$ 

For a proof see [5, p. 222] or [6].

LEMMA 2. Let X be a complemented subspace of m and assume that X has a subspace isomorphic to m. Then X is isomorphic to m.

Received June 9, 1967.

<sup>\*</sup> The research reported in this document has been sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant AF EOAR 66-18, through the European Office of Aerospace Research (OAR) United States Air Force.

For a proof see [5, p. 222].

We do not use essentially new methods here. Lemma 5 below is closely related to the paper [1] of Bessaga and Pełczyński. In the proof of the theorem itself we use an idea of Nakamura and Kakutani [4] (cf. also [7] and [8]). Our approach can be used to get some information on general  $\mathfrak{P}$  spaces. We do not, however, treat general  $\mathfrak{P}$  spaces here since it seems that the solution of the problem of characterizing general  $\mathfrak{P}$  spaces will need other methods. Our reason for believing this is the observation in [5, p. 223] that there are  $\mathfrak{P}$  spaces which are not isomorphic to  $m(\Gamma)$  for any  $\Gamma$ .

By the  $w^*$  topology of m we understand the topology induced on m by the elements of  $l_1$ . For  $x \in m$  and an integer i, x(i) denotes the *i*-th coordinate of x. For  $x \in m$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we put  $N(x, \varepsilon) = \{i; |x(i)| > \varepsilon\}$  and  $M(x, \varepsilon) = \{i; |x(i)| \le \varepsilon\}$ . The scalar field (real or complex) is denoted by R.

LEMMA 3. Let  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of elements in m such that for some constant K

(1) 
$$\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k x_k\right\| \leq K \sup_k \left|\lambda_k\right| \quad for \ all \ \{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{n} \subset R, \qquad n = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Then for every bounded sequence  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset R$  the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k x_k$  converges in the w\* topology to an element of norm  $\leq K \sup_k |\lambda_k|$  in m.

Proof. Obvious.

LEMMA 4. Let  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of elements in m such that (1) holds and such that for some H > 0

$$\|x_k\| \ge H \qquad k = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\theta < H$  there is an index k such that

(3) 
$$A_k = \{h; M(x_k, \varepsilon) \cap N(x_h, \theta) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is an infinite set.

**Proof.** By (1) we get that for every i,  $\sum_{k} |x_{k}(i)| \leq K$  and hence the intersection of  $r > K/\varepsilon$  of sets of the form  $N(x_{k},\varepsilon)$  is empty. Assume that  $A_{k}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, r$ , are finite sets. Then  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{r} A_{k}$  is a finite set. For  $h \notin A$ ,  $N(x_{h},\theta) \subset \bigcap_{k=1}^{r} N(x_{k},\varepsilon) = \emptyset$  but this contradicts (2).

LEMMA 5. Let  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of elements in m such that (1) holds and  $||x_k|| > 2$  for every k. Then there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_k\}$  such that

(4) 
$$\sup_{k} |\lambda_{k}| \leq \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} x_{n_{k}}\| \leq K \sup_{k} |\lambda_{k}|,$$

ON COMPLEMENTED SUBSPACES OF m

for every bounded sequence  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of scalars.

**Proof.** The right inequality of (4) is valid for every subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  by Lemma 3, so we have to consider only the left inequality of (4).

By Lemma 4 there is an  $n_1$  so that  $B_1 = \{h; M(x_{n_1}, 1/4) \cap N(x_h, 7/4) \neq \emptyset\}$  is infinite. Next we choose an integer  $i_1$  such that  $|x_{n_1}(i_1)| \ge 2$ . Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k(i_1)| \le K$ there is an infinite subset  $C_1$  of  $B_1$  such that  $\sum_{k \in C_1} |x_k(i_1)| < 1/4$ . The set  $M_1 = M(x_{n_1}, 1/4)$  is an infinite set. Indeed, otherwise we would have an infinite number of  $h \in B_1$  such that  $|x_h(i)| \ge 7/4$  for some fixed  $i \in M_1$  and this contradicts the fact that  $\sum_h |x_h(i)| \le K$ . Let  $x_{k,1}$  be the restriction of  $x_k$  to  $M_1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ (i.e.  $x_{k,1}(i)$  is defined only for  $i \in M_1$  and for these  $i, x_{k,1}(i) = x_k(i)$ ). By the definition of  $M_1, ||x_{k,1}|| > 7/4$  for  $k \in B_1$  and hence for  $k \in C_1$ . By applying Lemma 4 to  $\{x_{k,1}\}_{k \in C_1}$  we get an  $n_2 \in C_1$  such that

$$B_2 = C_1 \cap \{h; M_1 \cap M(x_{n_2}, 1/4^2) \cap N(x_h, 7/4 - 1/4^2) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is an infinite set. Let  $i_2 \in M_1$  be such that  $|x_{n_2}(i_2)| \ge 7/4$  and let  $C_2$  be an infinite subset of  $B_2$  such that  $\sum_{k \in C_2} |x_k(i_2)| < 1/4^2$ . Set  $M_2 = M_1 \cap M(x_{n_2}, 1/4^2)$  and  $x_{k,2}$  the restriction of  $x_k$  to  $M_2$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Continuing inductively we get a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_k\}$  and a sequence of integers  $i_k$  such that

(5) 
$$|x_{n_k}(i_k)| \ge 2 - 1/4 - \dots - 1/4^{k-1} > 5/3$$

(6) 
$$\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left| x_{n_k}(i_j) \right| \leq 1/4^j$$

(7) 
$$|x_{n_k}(i_j)| \leq 1/4^k$$
 for  $j > k$ 

By (6) and (7)  $\sum_{k \neq j} |x_{n_k}(i_j)| < 1/3$ . Let now  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be any sequence of scalars such that  $\sup_k |\lambda_k| = 1$  and let j be such that  $|\lambda_j| > 4/5$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k x_{n_k}\right\| &\geq \left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k x_{n_k}(i_j)\right| \geq \left|\lambda_j\right| \left|x_{n_j}(i_j)\right| - \sum_{k \neq j} \left|\lambda_k\right| \left|x_{n_k}(i_j)\right| \\ &\geq 5 \left|\lambda_j\right| / 3 - \sum_{k \neq j} \left|x_{n_k}(i_j)\right| \geq 1, \end{split}$$

and this concludes the proof of the lemma.

**Proof of the theorem.** Let X be an infinite dimensional complemented subspace of m. By Lemma 1 X contains a subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$ . By Lemma 5 it follows that there is a sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  in X and a constant K such that  $\sup_k |\lambda_k| \leq \leq ||\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k x_k|| \leq K \sup_k |\lambda_k|$  for every bounded sequence of scalars  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . (The series  $\sum \lambda_k x_k$  converges in the w\* topology).

Let  $\{N_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be an uncountable collection of infinite subsets of the integers such that  $N_{\beta} \cap N_{\gamma}$  is finite whenever  $\beta \neq \gamma$ . The existence of such a set is well

155

1967]

J. LINDENSTRAUSS

known (cf. [7], [8] and the references there). For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  let  $X_{\gamma}$  be the subspace of *m* consisting of the elements of the form  $\sum_{k \in N_{\gamma}} \lambda_k x_k$  where  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \in N_{\gamma}}$  is a bounded set of scalars. Clearly every  $X_{\gamma}$  is isomorphic to *m*. We shall prove that  $X_{\gamma} \subset X$ for some  $\gamma$  and this will conclude the proof of the theorem (by Lemma 2).

Let  $\phi: m \to m/X$  be the quotient map. Since X is complemented in m, m/X is isomorphic to a subspace of m. Hence there is a countable set of functionals  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  in  $(m/X)^*$  such that  $f_j(u) = 0$  for every j ( $u \in m/X$ ) implies u = 0. Assume that for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  there is an  $x_{\gamma} = \sum_{k \in N_{\gamma}} \lambda_k^{\gamma} x_k$  such that  $||x_{\gamma}|| = 1$  and  $x_{\gamma} \notin X$ i.e.  $\phi(x_{\gamma}) \neq 0$ . We claim that for every choice of signs  $\varepsilon_i$  and every finite set  $\{x_{\gamma_i}\}_{i=1}^{n}$ 

(8) 
$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\varepsilon_{i}\phi(x_{\gamma_{i}})\right\| \leq K.$$

Indeed, by our assumption on the  $N_{\gamma}$  there is a finite set  $M_0$  such that  $N_{\gamma_i} \subset M_0 \cup M_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $M_i \cap M_k = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq k$ . Put, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $x_{\gamma_i} = y_i + z_i$  where  $y_i = \sum_{k \in M_0} \lambda_k^{\gamma_i} x_k$  and  $z_i = \sum_{k \in M_i} \lambda_k^{\gamma_i} x_k$ . Every  $y_i$  belongs to X and hence  $\phi(x_{\gamma_i}) = \phi(z_i)$ . Since  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i z_i\| \leq K$  for every choice of signs we get (8).

From (8) it follows that for every  $f \in (m/X)^*$ ,  $\sum_{\gamma} |f(\phi(x_{\gamma}))| \leq K ||f||$  and in particular there is only a countable set of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $f(\phi(x_{\gamma})) \neq 0$ . It follows that there is only a countable number of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $f_j(\phi(x_{\gamma})) \neq 0$  for some j and this contradicts our choice of the  $f_j$  and the assumption that  $\phi(x_{\gamma}) \neq 0$  for every  $\gamma$ .

### REFERENCES

1. C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces, Studia Math. 17 (1958), 151-164.

2. W. J. Davis and D. W. Dean, The direct sum of Banach spaces with respect to a basis, Studia Math. 28 (1967), 209-219.

3. M. M. Day, Normed Linear Spaces, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1958.

4. M. Nakamura and S. Kakutani, Banach limits and the Čech compactification of a countable discrete set, Proc. Imp. Acad. Japan, 19 (1943), 224-229.

5. A. Pełczyński, Projections in certain Banach spaces, Studia Math. 19 (1960), 209-228.

6. A. Pełczyński, Banach spaces on which every unconditionally converging operator is weakly compact, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., 12 (1962), 641-648.

7. A. Pełczyński and V. N. Sudakov, Remark on non-complemented subspaces of the space m(S), Colloq. Math. 9 (1962), 85-88.

8. R. Whitley, Projecting m onto c<sub>0</sub>, Amer. Math. Monthly 73 (1966), 285-286.

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM.